IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

E	XHIBIT	١
	R	

VOTER REFERENCE FOUNDATION,)	
LLC)	CASE NO: 1:22-cv-00222-JB-KK
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
v.)	
)	
RAUL TORREZ, in his official		NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF
capacity as New Mexico Attorney General, and		DEFENDANT TORREZ UNDER
MAGGIE TOULOUSE OLIVER, in her		FED. R. CIV. P. 30(B)(6)
Official capacity as New Mexico)	
Secretary of State,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the deposition of Defendant Raul Torrez, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6) and through one or more officers, directors, or other representatives who shall be designated to testify on Defendant Torrez' behalf regarding all information known or reasonably available to his office with respect to the subject matters identified in Attachment A, will be taken by Graves Garrett LLC, counsel for Plaintiff, at the offices of Harrison, Hart & Davis, LLC, 924 Park Avenue SW, Suite E, Albuquerque, NM, commencing at 9:00 a.m. on February 27, 2023, and continuing until completed, before a person authorized by law to administer oaths and recorded by stenographic means.

HARRISON, HART & DAVIS, LLC

Carter B. Harrison IV 924 Park Avenue SW, Suite E Albuquerque, NM 87102 Tel: (505) 369-6599

Fax: (505) 341-9340

carter@harrisonhartlaw.com

GRAVES GARRETT, LLC

Edward D. Greim

Admitted Pro Hac Vice
1100 Main Street, Suite 2700

Kansas City, MO 64105

Tel: (816) 256-3181

Fax: (816) 222-0534

edgreim@gravesgarrett.com

Counsel for Plaintiff VRF

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Edward D. Greim, certify that on January 25, 2023, this Notice of Deposition was served via e-mail as follows:

Erin Lecocq Jeff Herrera Office of the New Mexico Attorney General 408 Galisteo Street Santa Fe, NM 87501 Tel.: (505) 490-4060

Fax: (505) 490-4881 elecocq@nmag.gov jherrera@nmag.gov

/s/ Edward D. Greim

Edward D. Greim Counsel for Plaintiff

EXHIBIT A

- 1. The existence and duration of any criminal investigation of VRF.
- 2. Any contacts between the agents of the Attorney General and any third parties with respect to the criminal investigation, including but not limited to contacts with the FBI and other Attorneys General or state officials.
- 3. The extent to which the Attorney General or his agents played any part in the decision of the Secretary of State to refuse VRF's requests for voter data from 2022 to the present.
- 4. The basis for the Attorney General's assertion that VRF, or anyone acting in concert with it, violated New Mexico law or may have violated New Mexico law.
- 5. The basis for the Attorney General's assertion, including but not limited to the assertion in the response to Request for Admission 5, that sharing of data "alone" does not violate New Mexico law.
- 6. The basis for the Attorney General's assertion, including but not limited to the assertion in the response to Request for Admission 8, that the act of "publishing [voter data] online" may not violate New Mexico law if "publishing online" is for a "permissible use." This includes the facts and criteria that are relevant in VRF's case to determine whether it has violated New Mexico law.
- 7. The basis for the Attorney General's assertion that his actions as alleged in the First Amended Verified Complaint were not taken under the color or pretense of New Mexico law.
- 8. Any facts showing that New Mexico has a valid, substantial, or compelling interest in prohibiting and potentially in criminalizing VRF's conduct, and any facts showing that New Mexico's prohibition is sufficiently tailored to vindicate that interest.
- 9. The Attorney General's admissions and denials in his Answer.
- 10. The Attorney General's responses to discovery.